Comment on "Uncertainty relations for positive-operator-valued measures"

Alexey E. Rastegin
Department of Theoretical Physics, Irkutsk State University, Gagarin Bv. 20, Irkutsk 664003, Russia

The principal aim of this Comment is to correct those entropic uncertainty relations that are presented in a paper by Massar [arXiv:quant-ph/0703036v2 (current version)], concerning two approaches to a study of the noise produced by POVM's. It is next emphasized that the first of the entropic bounds for POVM obtained by the above author has been already presented in Ref. [8]. Some exposition obscurity with equation (14) of the commented paper is elucidated. Finally, some more specific remarks on the paper content are given.

PACS numbers: 03.65.Ta, 03.67.-a

arXiv:0810.0083v2 [quant-ph] 18 Feb 2009

Considering the powers and limitations of generalized measurements, the writer of Ref. [1] used the two approaches to the question. In the second approach, some bounds on the Shannon entropy of POVM outcomes were examined. Massar's idea to strengthen entropic bounds by means of the Naimark extension appears to be key original contribution of Ref. [1] on the subject of entropic uncertainty relations. But the two relations presented as Eqs. (16) and (17) of Ref. [1] demand corrections. In the following, the notation of Ref. [1] will be used. The Naimark theorem asserts that one can extend the system Hilbert space in such a way that a generalized measurement has been realized in the extended space as projective measurement (for details, see [2] and references therein). In Ref. [1] the following construction is utilized. Let $\mathcal{M}=\{|m_k\rangle\langle m_k|\}$ and $\mathcal{N}=\{|n_l\rangle\langle n_l|\}$ be two POVM's, whose elements are all rank 1. An extended space $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}=\mathcal{H}\oplus\mathcal{H}'$ is the direct sum of the system space $\mathcal{H}$ (on which the elements of $\mathcal{M}$ act) and an ancillary space $\mathcal{H}'$. There exists an orthonormal basis of the extended space $\{|\tilde{m}_k\rangle\}$, which restricted to the system space gives the POVM $\mathcal{M}$:

$$|\tilde{m}_k\rangle = |m_k\rangle + |m'_k\rangle \ ,$$

where $|m_k\rangle\in\mathcal{H}$ and $|m'_k\rangle\in\mathcal{H}'$. Massar points out that the well-known relation (see Eq. (3) of Ref. [1]), conjectured by Kraus [3] and then established by Maassen and Uffink [4], is directly generalized to such POVM's [5]. Here Massar refers to the paper by Hall [6]. But Hall also poses this correct statement without discussion. However, an application of the Riesz theorem is connected with one delicate aspect. Namely, in the theorem precondition for transformation the inequality between norms should be valid for each vector of input Hilbert space [7]. Nevertheless, this is corret. Massar has further observed that the relation can be strengthened by maximizing a bound over all the possible extensions. His first strengthened relation (see Eq. (16) of Ref. [1]) is

$$H(\mathcal{M})+H(\mathcal{N}) \geq \max_{U'} -\log_2 \max_{kl} |\langle\tilde{m}_k|U'|\tilde{n}_l\rangle| \ .$$

The last inequality should be replaced by the corrected relation

$$H(\mathcal{M})+H(\mathcal{N}) \geq 2\max_{U'} -\log_2 \max_{kl} |\langle\tilde{m}_k|U'|\tilde{n}_l\rangle| \ . \quad (1)$$

Here $H(\cdot)$ denotes the Shannon entropy of probability distribution generated by measurement. Putting two different Naimark extensions of one and the same POVM, Massar then writes down (see Eq. (17) of Ref. [1])

$$H(\mathcal{M}) \geq \max_{U'} -\frac{1}{2}\,\log_2 \max_{kl} |\langle\tilde{m}_k|U'|\tilde{m}_l\rangle| \ .$$

It is now clear that the last inequality should be replaced by the corrected relation

$$H(\mathcal{M}) \geq \max_{U'} -\log_2 \max_{kl} |\langle\tilde{m}_k|U'|\tilde{m}_l\rangle| \ . \quad (2)$$

The two entropic relations given by Eqs. (1) and (2) of this Comment provide the true results of sharpening the Maassen-Uffink bound by maximization over Naimark's extensions. These corrected relations must be used instead of Eqs. (16) and (17) of Ref. [1] respectively.

In Section IV of the paper, which is devoted to entropic uncertainty relations, the first bound for a single POVM (Eq. (13) of Ref. [1]) has been previously given by Krishna and Parthasarathy (see their remark to Corollary 2.6 of Ref. [8]). The written forms of inequality are somewhat distinct, but they are establishing one and the same entropic bound [9]. In addition, some obscurity has been observed in Section IV of Ref. [1]. In the paragraph, following Eq. (13) of Ref. [1], the author consider a POVM realized by carrying out one of two non-degenerate projective-valued measures (PVM's) $\mathcal{A}=\{|a_k\rangle\langle a_k|\}$ and $\mathcal{B}=\{|b_l\rangle\langle b_l|\}$ with equal probabilities. He states that the Maassen-Uffink relation then leads to the bound

$$H(\mathcal{M}) \geq 1-\frac{1}{2}\,\log_2 \max_{kl} |\langle a_k|b_l\rangle|^2 \ . \quad (3)$$

Applying this inequality to POVM from Eq. (9) of Ref. [1], Massar has written Eq. (14). Here it is necessary to state explicitly the following. If the considered POVM has the form

$$\mathcal{M}=\left\{\frac{1}{2}\,|a_k\rangle\langle a_k|\right\}\bigcup\left\{\frac{1}{2}\,|b_l\rangle\langle b_l|\right\}$$

then the corresponding entropies satisfy

$$H(\mathcal{M}) = 1+\frac{1}{2}\big[H(\mathcal{A})+H(\mathcal{B})\big] \ .$$

Hence we get Eq. (3) of this Comment. The POVM defined in Eq. (9) of Ref. [1] has the above form, and the Maassen-Uffink relation leads to Eq. (14) of Ref. [1].

When in Section I of Ref. [1] different formulations of the uncertainty principle are compared, Massar points out that the Maassen–Uffink bound is independent on the quantum state. At the same time, in the well-known Robertson relation [12] a bound on the product of observable variances can vanish even if these variances are both positive. Here several remarks seem to be appropriate. First, state-dependent entropic bounds can quite be obtained (see Refs. [10, 11] for two-dimensional case and Refs. [8, 13] for general case). Rather, for entropic relations the dependence of a bound on the quantum state is not critical. Namely, if the state-independent entropic bound is nontrivial then corresponding state-dependent bound is always nontrivial [7]. At the same time, in tasks of quantum information processing we usually have only partial or no knowledge about system state. Although in many cases the state-dependent entropic relation provides more stronger bound, state-independent forms are more widely applicable. Second, if a commutator of two operators is (up to a factor) the identity then these operators are unbounded [14]. So, in finite-dimensional Hilbert space the Robertson bound is inevitably dependent on the quantum state. Third, an explicit example of a shortcoming of the Robertson relation would be suitable. In the clear example mentioned by Larsen [15] the variances of two observables are nonzero but the Robertson bound is zero too. Referencing presented by Massar seems to be incomplete. So it is difficult to place his work in the context of current state of research of the entropic uncertainty relations.

[1] S. Massar, arXiv:quant-ph/0703036v2
[2] A. Peres, Found. Phys. **20**, 1441 (1990)
[3] K. Kraus, Phys. Rev. D **35**, 3070 (1987)
[4] H. Maassen and J. B. M. Uffink, Phys. Rev. Lett. **60**, 1103 (1988)
[5] For two non-degenerate observables, Maassen and Uffink built a norm-preserving transformation and used the Riesz theorem. This scheme holds for two POVM's with one-rank elements. Changes are needed for degenerate observables and arbitrary POVM's, when a transformation does not preserve a norm.
[6] M. J. W. Hall, Phys. Rev. A **55**, 100 (1997)
[7] A. E. Rastegin, arXiv:0807.2691 [quant-ph]
[8] M. Krishna and K. R. Parthasarathy, Sankhya, Ser. A **64**, 842 (2002); arXiv:quant-ph/0110025
[9] Using elementary properties of the operator norm, it is easy to check the identity of the both bounds. We refrein from presenting the calculations here.
[10] J. Sánchez-Ruiz, Phys. Lett. A **244**, 189 (1998)
[11] G.-C. Ghirardi, L. Marinatto, and R. Romano, Phys. Lett. A **317**, 32 (2003)
[12] H. P. Robertson, Phys. Rev. **34**, 163 (1929)
[13] A. E. Rastegin, arXiv:0805.1777 [quant-ph]
[14] A. Wintner, Phys. Rev. **71**, 738 (1947)
[15] U. Larsen, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **23**, 1041 (1990)